

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Re Your Request dated 12 August - Efficiency Evaluations

FROM: Acting Chief
Information Systems Security Group
Office of Security

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

13 JUL 1976

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. OS/PPG
Attn:
2. 4E-58, Hqs.

STAT

I would suggest removal of your current item 7 on the listing of Efficiency Evaluation Subject. The reasoning behind this is the possible difficulty in responding to future questions which I expect would follow - if it became a selected subject.

If additional subject items are needed, the Top Secret Control Automated Data System (TSCADS) and the Top Secret Control (TSC) Program may be appropriate for consideration. It is expected that the TSC program using TSCADS will provide increased effectiveness and efficiency in all phases of Top Secret control.

If further elaboration is needed on either of these subjects, please let us know so we can "lay some appropriate words on you".

10. STAT

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFIED

INTERNAL
USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Efficiency Evaluations
STAT

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group
4E 58, Hqs.

STAT

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

C/SSC

12 Aug 76 BR

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

2.

PPG

3.

4E 58 Hqs.

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

No accumulation

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Efficiency Evaluation 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

STAT

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group
4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.	DD/PTOS	12 AUG 1976		
2.	A C/TSD		12 Aug	HJ
3.				
4.	PPG/OS 4E 58 Hqs			
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				STAT
15.				

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

12 Aug 76
TSD has no suggestions for change

Phys D also
no suggestion
per C/Phys D 13 Aug 76

FORM 3-52

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



SECRET



CONFIDENTIAL



INTERNAL USE ONLY



UNCLASSIFIED

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP85B00623R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Evaluations
STAT

FROM: [Redacted]
Policy and Plans Group
4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

DD/PSI

8/12/76

28

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

2.

PSI-Foe

8/13/76

h

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

3.

Per handle

4.

5.

6.

7.

C/PPG-alt [Redacted]

STAT

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

8.

9.

10.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

2-7-
Agree with
your listing
MSJ

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP80B00823R000400040027-1				
SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Evaluations STAT				
FROM: Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.		EXTENSION:	NO.	
			DATE: 12 August 1976 STAT	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED		OFFICER'S INITIALS COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. C/IRG				<div> <i>AD</i> </div> <p>Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.</p> <p>The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.</p> <p>Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.</p> <p>Please provide your response to AC/PPG by <u>COB 13 August 1976.</u></p> <p><i>1-2 Negative response</i></p> <p><i>C/Plays also negative</i></p>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP85B00823R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional) **STAT** Efficiency Evaluations

FROM:
Policy and Plans Group
4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/SRD

8/12 8/12 *me*

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

11. *AC/PPG*

⑪ *No additions or deletions*

STAT



STAT

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1